Preserve

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

LB 19-21 88/16 & 18 (19 LB)

File No. 19-21

Serial Nos. 31, 69, 106, 111, 114, 115, 117

Later references.



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Jan 25

SUBJECT

Abolition of village Panchayats that are not in working order in the State.

Ordered to be filed as it is contemplated in the order on the scheme to bring all villages under the control of Panchayats

6227

49729

1921-22

From

The President,

District Board,

To Tumkur.

The Secretary,
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 4th April 1922.

Received

General No. RoAdvg 499

No.

Enclosure No.

Re- the election of members to the Village Panchayet of Kenkere.

-------o-------

1) In this case, the non-cooperation of villagers in electing the members of the V. Panchayets is a party fight. They are not in favour of Village Panchayets. The non-cooperation movement is likely to spread & unless it is nipped in the bud other villages who are not in favour of Village Panchayets may defy Govt in this respect. If approved, the DC may be asked to furnish Govt with a list of nominees as members of the V.P in question.

Is it permissible to nominate in such cases? There was a similar case some time ago. It may be put up

DCS 11/4/22

Y-N

3) No. Please see notes in B 19-21 Sl 25.

DS 4/5/22

4) A similar reply may be put up in this case also

DCS 5/5/22

5) Draft put up for approval

DCS 6/5/22

(2nd member)

5308 6/5

6) I think once previously I referred to the word Adalmatti in connection with Nelakottara village. In Adalmatti Panchayat 4 non-Brahmins and one Brahmin is elected. There are 5 such villages in the compact Taluk Kunigal. Please prepare a reference showing in how many villages in which Panchayats are not working satisfactorily for the Panchayat which has been constituted so that the matter may be considered as in the case of Nelakottara etc.

7) Please put up a statement

6227
2)

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

8) Kind attention is invited to para 4 of G.O. dt. 1-2-22 (herewith put up) which shows that 267 Village Panchayats in all the districts did not meet at all during 22-23. The details regarding these Panchayats have been furnished. In para 2 of the said G.O. it is stated that 7 Panchayats have been abolished in the Kurnool Sub-Dn. For preparing a statement as called for by the member, necessary data are not available in this office. The Collrs of the districts, may be asked to furnish the information called for on the subject.

Submitted for orders.

19/5 BM 19/5/22

9) May the information be called for?

19/5 (Revisory) DCS 19/5/22

Draft please

Draft put up 18)

30/5 BM 30/5/22 Issued DCS 30/5/22

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 1880—G.P.B.—1,50,000—25-9-21

L 259

G. R. S. III—3.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, &c., not intended for permanent record.]

Reports have been received from the Presidents of Kadur Shimoga and Chitaldroog Dist Boards. The Statement sent by the President Kolar District Board is not full. The Statement sent by the President Dist Bd Hassan is not in the one that was Called for

15/ Send with drafts for appr

16/ Issue.

26/5/17 What about Bangalore

Report has not also been received from the Bangalore Dist and Dy. reminder is also sent up

24/5 19/ Why were the drafts already approved issued

Issue all drafts at once

10.7.19

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

4289
324
389
403
498
880

4)

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Issued No A 377 dated 16.7.23 to the President Dist Board Hassan
No 378 President Dist Bd Kolar
Do. No A 379-81 to the Presidents of District Board of Mysore, Bangalore & Tumkur.

20) Replies have now been received from the Presidents of Tumkur & Mysore District Boards. (G & F)

G. F.

21) The President Dist. Board Bangalore states that he will send a detailed report as soon as he finishes his inspection.

H

22) Final reminders will be issued to the Presidents of Hassan, Kolar & Bangalore districts till replies are received.

Mr BR 24/8

23) Pressing do reminders should issue at all. The matter is pending for a long time. CBS 3.8.23

24) A report has been received from the prsdt of Bd. Kolar. D.O. Reminders to the prsdts Hassan & Bangalore are kept up for approval

Sus

10/8 HT. Issue CBS 9.8.23

Reply from the Bangalore DC was not yet been received. In view of the orders issued passed last week we hope the same will be complied

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

[illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ...

... [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ...

... [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ...

... [

H3624-n

RECEIVED IN JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
22 JAN 1923
REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
BRANCH

మెడకీ బార్ మెమ్బర్ సుంకశాలయు దివాన్ సాంజీ ఛత్రా

ముఖ్యకార్యదర్శి గారికి LB 18-22-84

బేంగళూరు కంటోన్మెంట్ పరిధిలోని సకోల్ తాలూకా కెంచనగుడి హోబళిలోని

H336-4 గ్రామదిన్నెరువు బిల్వాంవగిన్నెరు ఈకేళగిని రుజు హాంకల్లుట్టు రైతులు

ఆ.16/21-22 బళదుమోళంత అనువాండ్రు అర్జీ

I ఈ కేంచరలిగ్రామదిన్నె కంపాంగదమనేగళు

No. 15 మాంత్రీమనేగళు ఇరుత్తి ఈ గ్రామక్కె 1/2 మైళిగు హాంగలియి

దొరకెళహొళ్ళవేంబగ్రామమజరాంగ్రామవాంగి లెక్కెదాంవల్లిద్దు

II ఈవిశయవు వందాంగళోదరిని ఈ గ్రామ

విలేజుబవంజిదారియరి గ్రామవేంబుదాంగి హాంతుముండి మనేగళ

గేకెంచాంయ దాంవల్లాడికొళ్ళరి

III ఈగళ్ళంగళ్ళందాం 4 సాంతగకెంచాంయు కసువుల్ల

ఆబేకేంకకొండ్లాక్ లమల్లాడిరవరు గ్రామదపటేలరిగె హెళ్ళలు హాంగ

రుగళ్ళంగళిగె హెంగరుల్లాన్ కేసుంట్టు ఒడుత్తవాంగి కెగాంచి మండుత్తిద్దా

IV ఈసంబంధంగ్రామక్కె విలేజుబేంబాంయు శివుండ-

కెళెద్దున్నాయవల్లవేంబుదున్నుమనేగళగి కెంచాంయుహాంకిరకెళె

మ్మవజాంమంతబేకేంబుదున్నుళ్ళవు గిన్నెంట్రారుల్లు వ్యవసాయ కేసుండినవు

కేందు 23.6.22రల్లున్నళిదాంనవళ్ళి రుంటరుంబి అర్జిమాడికేసుండిద్దు

చేల్లళకేసుంరు.దళ్ళి విచారణెయాంచాంబ్రకేళుముదయవాంల

సువ్యవస్థాంగ ప్రవ్యవస్థేయుంయిద్దుదువరి గూంయుంవద్దుకూ

దయుబేంలసలిల్లా

V ఈగగ్రామియవరవనవన్నిదాంనదల్ల చోడికేసు

మ్మవచేసినందరి ఈకేంచరలిగ్రామక్కె హోలిరకెళహొళ్ళ కేసుంట్టు ఈగ్రా

మక్కొళసంమంద వాల్లాన్నెంట్రాసిన్నెగ్రామదిన్నెరకెళె మనేగళ

కెంచాంయుహాంకిరకెళె దివ్యయుంవనాన్నెంట్రాలమేం స్థాపిసిలువరి

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 6227-21

Remarks

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Section dB.

File No. 19-21

Serial No. 69

No. L. 7234-41

Date of issue 9.6.22

Signed by Mr. D.C.S

To

The Presidents of Taluk Boards

Sir

I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information marginally noted in the Statement in regard to such of the Village Panchayets in your District as are not in proper working order.

I am

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Secretary.

Cause or causes for delay

Names of Panchayets which have been sent to the Presidents

Names of the Village Panchayets in the Taluk not working

Office of the President, District Boa
Kolar District,
No. Dated Kolar the 14th July 1922.

LB19-21—106

From

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B. A.,
~~Offg~~:President, District Board, Kolar District.

In charge

To

A. V. Ramanathan, Esquire., B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Rev: Department,
(in charge) Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. L. 7234-41/ L.B. 19-21-69 dated the 9th ultimo calling for a list of Village Panchayets in the District which are not working satisfactori -ly with reasons therefor, I have the honour to forward herewith a list relating to seven Taluks in the District and to state that information in regard to those in the other Taluks will soon be forwarded.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

C. Gopalarao

~~Offg~~:President, District Board,
Kolar District.

Rejected

STATEMENT SHOWING THE VILLAGE PANCHAYETS IN THE KOLAR DISTRICT WHICH ARE NOT WORKING WELL.

Taluk.	Names of all village panchayets not working.	Names of Village panchayets recommended to be abolished.	Cause or causes for it.	Population	Remarks.
1. Goribidnur	Taridal, Thondebhavi, Halkur, Watadahosahalli Nagargere, Myalya, Varavani, & Minakangurki. (8)	Taridal, Watadahosahalli, and Halkur.	In Taridal the Villagers are too poor to pay taxes and in Halkur and Watadahoshalli, the Villagers do not want a Village Panchayet. In Thondebhavi, the present Chairman is unpopular. The other Panchayets will improve with competent Chairman.	Thondebhavi 1,562 Halkur 864 Nagargere 1,839 Myalya 1215.	Government have recently sanctioned the abolition of Wa-tadahosahalli Village panchayet.
2. M a l u r.	Rajenhalli and Nelluhalli. (2)	Rajenhalli and Nelluhalli.	Want of literate and competent Members and also due to the -- poverty of the Village.	505 and 461.	
3. Srinivaspur.	Dalasanur, Tadigol and Gownpalli. (3)	N i l .	For want of interest by the V i l l a g e r s .	639, 1017 & 667.	The President reports that steps will be taken to improve them.
4. Chickballapur	...	...		...	All the Village Panchayets are reported to be working satisfactorily.
5. Sidlaghatta	...	...	...	...	
6. Bowringpet	...	...	...	...	
7. Gudibanda	...	...	...	...	

C.L. Gopala Rao

~~Offg:~~ President, District Board,
Kolar District.

From The President District Board Kadur District

To The Secretary to Government Revenue Department Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 4th August 22

Received

General No. 5-12 L.B.

No. 25-52-1

Enclosure No. one 5 AUG 1922

Submitting a Statement showing the particulars of Village Panchayats in the District.

Office of the President,

Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chikmagalur, the 31st July 22.

From

L.G.Krishnamurthi, Esquire., B.A.,

President, Kadur District Board,

Chikmagalur.

To

A.V.Ramanathan, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to Government, (in charge),

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.7234-41 L.B. - 19-21-89 dated 9th June 1922 calling for certain particulars regarding the Village Panchayets in this District which are not working order, I have the honor to submit herewith a - statement containing the required particulars.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L Krishnamurthi

President,

Kadur District Board.

A.K.

District.	Names of Village Panchayets in the District not working,	Names of Village Panchayets recommended to be abolished.	Cause or causes for it.	Population.	Remarks.
Koppa Taluk.	Bhandigadi.			801.	The President of the Taluk Board reports that the Villagers do not want the Panchayet and say they are not paying the mohatarfa taxes and do not take interest in the working of the Panchayet. It may therefore be abolished. May be abolished.
	Nemmar.			623.	The President of the Taluk Board states that the houses in this village are scattered and that the people are not taking interest im the working of the Panchayet. Hence this may also be abolished.

Dated, Chikmagalur,
the 31st July 1922.

L Srinivasa Rao
President,
Kadur District Board.

BBR

District.	Name of Village Panchayets in the District not working.	Name of Village Panchayets recommended to be abolished.	Cause or causes for it.	Population.	Remarks.
Kadur Taluk cont.					refused even to elect members for the Panchayet for the next trinnial election when he went - there on the 29th June 1922. It is evident - from his report that no sums have been spent in any of these Panchayets on any item of work or establishment nor have the people paid any taxe as yet. So all the nine Panchayets may be abolished.
Tarikere Taluk.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
Narasimharajapur Sub Taluk.	Muthinakoppa.			439.	The Deputy Amildar reports that some of the ryots ~~being~~ in the Dakhale villages of Jambally Chebbunad have protested against this and have given petitions praying for its abolition. More than 75 Per cent of the inhabitants have not protested and is of opinion that no reason is made out for its abolition. May remain.
	Balehonnur, (Kanabm			1144.	The Deputy Amildar is of opinion that though this village Panchayet is not working regularly the Village Panchayet need not be abolish ed. He also states that this is a growing big village with a fairly large population of 1144 and that some of the ryots have recently presented a petition for its abolition as it works as a hardship to some poor persons and is of opinion that the case of these poor people may be leniently dealt with. May remain.

Statement showing the names of Village Panchayets not in working Order in the Kadur District.

District.	Names of Village Panchayets in the District not working.	Names of Village Panchayets recommended to be abolished.	Cause or causes for it.	Population.	Remarks.
Kadur District. Chikmagalur Taluk	1. Hiregouja.	nil	People of the place are not willing to have the Village Panchayet established there.	539.	No recommendation has been made till now for the abolition of any village Panchayet. But these two may now be abolished as they are not working.
	2. Malalur.			837.	
Kadur Taluk.	1. Mattigatta.	None have been recommended to be abolished till now. The Amildar proposes to have the following Village Panchayets abolished as it is difficult to make them work. 1. Mattigatta. 2. Hirenallur. 3. Chikkanallur. 4. Hiriyur. 5. Hulikere. 6. Nidagatta. 7. Garje.	The people are against the system. They have not paid any taxes and are protesting to pay. The Panchayet members refused to work even though advised. They are constantly petitioning to have the Panchayet system abolished.	670.	The Amildar and President of the Taluk Board reports that except (1) Sakrepatna, (2) Yagati (3)Yellambalase (4) Devanur, none of the other nine Panchayets are working, that the people are against the Panchayet system, that they are flatly refusing to have the Panchayet and have not paid any taxes up to now and that strict co-ercive processes have to be enforeed if they are to be continued. He adds that all of them are in the most interior parts of the Taluk and are not easily accessible. He further states that the people of Nidagatta and Mattigatta Village Panchayets are constantly petitioning Government to cancel the Panchayet system in their villages. It is also reported that all the Panchayet members in Garje Village have resigned and refused to work and that new members have not come forward. The Amildar states that Patnagere is a mischievous village and that they refused
	2. Patnagere.			888.	
	3. Hirenallur.			840.	
	4. Chikkanallur.			811.	
	5. Asandi.			1173.	
	6. Hiriur.			1266.	
	7. Hulikere.			1366.	
	8. Garje.			823.	
	9. Nidagatta.			1305.	

REVENUE L. 2499
16 NOV 1922 191 22390
LOCAL

From A.R. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq B.A.

President District Board

C H I T A L D R U G

To T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq, B.A.B.L

Secretary to Government

LB 19-21 — 114

Revenue Department, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 11-11-1922

GEN & REV. SECTT.
16 NOV 1922

Received

General No. Ref no 1245 to 47 & 52

No.

Enclosure No. as stated

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 7234-41 / L.B. 19-21-69 dated 9th June 1922 calling for certain information in regard to such of the village Panchayets in the District as are not in a proper working order I have the honour to forward herewith

List of Village Panchayets in the Chitaldrug District not in proper working order

no.	Names of all the Village Panchayets in the District not working	Names of all the Panchayets which have been proposed to be abolished	Cause or Causes for it	Population	Remarks
1	Marangi	Marangi	Since the inception of the scheme these are not working.	686	
2	Sultanipura	Sultanipura	Not even house lists were prepared by them during the	670	
3	Hayakal	Hayakal	past 3 years. The people object to the levy of house tax	1569	Deserve to be abolished. The Panchayets were not reconstituted though their term expired in February 22
4	Halavardara	Halavardara	No elections were held in them at the time of last re-constitution. Besides there are no literate persons to manage	482	
5	Kyasapura	Kyasapura	the affairs of the panchayets in some of them.	645	
6	Suigapura		The village is full of party stripe. Collection of house tax is	689	Further attempts are to be made to see if they can be brought into working order.
7	Hullur		a difficult tax. The arrears are heavy.	980	
8	Godabanhal		The villagers have not appreciated the benefits of the Panchayets. Collection work is in arrears.	947	
9	Jampannakankote		— do —	1644	
10	Siragere		— do —	1021	
11	Mudahadadi	Mudahadadi	A small village. Income insignificant. Villagers do not pay the tax.	499	No elections were held in these Panchayets in the last reconstitution. They deserve to be abolished
12	Thumbigere	Thumbigere	There are no literate persons in the villages and the villages		
13	Kakkaragola	Kakkaragola	are full of party stripe.		
14	Kabbur	Kabbur	A small village. The income ~~is~~ realised is insignificant	478	
15	Malalkere	Jakkenhalli hamlet of Malalkere	The benefits of the Panchayets do not reach the hamlet. The hamlet is not willing to pay house tax.	803	
16			Villagers have not appreciated the advantages of a	879	

17	Kandagal		small house tax devoted to communal purposes	1216	Further attempts to be made to bring these Panchayets into working order.
18	Hemmanbethur		— Do —	846	
19	Hatavarthi		— Do —	498	
20	Anaji		— Do —	966	
21	Karehalli	Karehalli	Small village. There are no literate persons to manage the affairs of the Panchayet. People object to the levy of house tax	545	Deserve to be abolished.
22	Madadakere	Madadakere	— Do —	602	
23	Mallodu	Mallodu	— Do —	1208	
24	Chikkabaladakere	Chikkabaladakere	Village is full of party strife. People object to the levy of the house tax. There is only one literate man-Shanbhog in the village.	637	
25	Herur	Herur	A small village. The people object to the levy of house tax	378	
26	Doggenhal	Doggenhal	The villagers are very poor. There is a loud complaint against the inclusion of the village in the Panchayets on the plea the house tax has constituted great hardship to the people. The Panchayet was not re-constituted though the term expired in February 1922.	733	Deserve to be abolished.
27	Kunigal	Kunigal	A small village — Do —	462	
28	Thumkurlahalli	Thumkurlahalli	These are small villages. The income realised is too small for improvement works. The people object to the levy of house tax. No elections were held in them during the time of last re-constitution of the Panchayets. Some of them declined to hold elections.	637	Deserve to be abolished.
29	Byrapura	Byrapura		545	
30	Amkundi	Amkundi		413	
31	Muttigarhalli	Muttigarhalli		352	

13.11.22.

For President

26146

No. C 1618 LB 21-22

Office of the President, District Board,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga 26th December 1922.

Enc one.

From

The President,
District Board,
Shimoga District.

REVENUE 31 DEC 1922

REVENUE 3 JAN 1923 LOCAL SELF GOVTt BRANCH.

LB 19-21—115

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 7234-41/L.B.19-21-69 dated 9th June 1922, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement in the prescribed form showing the names of Village Panchayets in this District that are not in proper working order.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Bhubeshkar

for President, District Board,
Shimoga District.

Statement showing the names of the village panchayats of the Shimoga district that have not been working in proper working order.

Serial No.	Name of village panchayat not working	Name of village panchayat recommended to be abolished and pending decision	Cause or causes for it.	Population	Remarks.
			Shimoga Taluk		
1	1 Holalur 2 Hiriyur 4 Harnagatta 5 Arabilachi	Nil	These four panchayats are stated to have not been working properly on account of indifference on the part of the respective chairmen in the collections Sanitary works. As these panchayats are reconstituted during the current year it is hoped they show better progress.	787 565 810 1,536	
			Kumsi Sub Taluk		
2		Ozavenkere	As the income is within Rs. 100 a year, it is not possible to meet all the expenses of the panchayat.	346	

	10 Chetrahalli	Chetrahalli	The members are all illeterate & the patel who is only a Gumasta is not influential.	752
			Shikarpur Taluk	
5	1 Talagunda	Talagunda	In spite of several attempts to bring it to working order, the villagers are not showing any interest & there is no qualified agency in the village to work out the Scheme.	378
	2 Tadasanahalli	Tadasanahalli	Do	436
	3 Kappanahalli	Kappanahalli	Want of Sufficient number of interested & qualified men to work up the Scheme.	496
			Sorab Taluk	
6	1 Andige	Andige	The population is very small & also the ~~expenditure is~~ the receipt is insufficient to meet the Expenses.	
			Sagar Taluk	
7	1 Keladi			1438
	2 Kaudey			1244
	3 Anantapur		Ignorance on the part of the people	1440
	4 Talaguppa		of the Scope of the working of the	754
			Panchayat Rules &c	601

Dil

Homali Taluk

1	Arundi	Arundi	Want of capable men to conduct the work	550
2	Arakere	Arakere	do & also being highly factious village	755
3	Gollarahalli	Gollarahalli	A small village having a jamabandy of Rs 89/-	405
4	Heregonigere	Heregonegere	The Patel is considered incompetent and untrust worthy and there are no other Competent men.	646
5	Kumbalur	Kumbalur	Highly factious & want of public spirited men to work the Scheme.	743
6	Kulagatte	Kulagatte	Being only a Inam village, there are no Competent & influencial men to guide the people to work the Scheme.	835
7	Kyasankere	Kyasankere	For want of influential & intelligent people to conduct the work	811
8	Chinikatte	Chinikatte	The dwelling houses are very small in number & also the people are very poor. The income of the people Panchayet is also small Rs-6 2/-	661
9	Jeenahalli	Jeenahalli	The village is highly factious. The patel who is only a garnisha is also not influential	751

31637

No: 121-22

Office of the President, District Board,
Hassan, Dated 16th February 1923.

L 4259-22

GOVT. & REV. SECTT.
L
22 FEB 1923

REVENUE & [illegible]
22 FEB 1923
LOCAL SELF GOVT.
BRANCH.

From

N. S. Nanjundiah, Esq.,
President, District Board,
H a s s a n

To

T. E. Jeyarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., BL.,
Secretary to Government, of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the Village Panchayets that are in proper working order in this District together with other particulars relating to the same, as desired by you, in your letter No: 7234-41 dated 9th June 22.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. S. Nanjundiah

President,
District Board, Hassan.

DIX V.

l expenditure in the Hazaribagh District for 1921 —1922.

WD 02143—GPB—450—23-11-21.

Number of meetings during the year	Average attendance at the meetings		Receipts					Expenditure								Number of houses assessed to house tax	Annual demand under house tax	Average assessment per house	Remarks
												Lighting charges							
quorum	Official	Non-officials	House tax	Cattle pounds	Sale of manure	Other items	Total	Public works	Collection and administration charges	Conservancy and sanitation	Cattle pounds	Number of lamps lighted	Cost incurred	Other items	Total				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
—	3	6	817	14	3	—	834	278	42	340	9	—	—	7	676	384	682	1/—	
—	9	12	1154	151	—	4	1309	107	71	751	81	—	—	164	1174	718	1180	1/—	
—	3	7	349	8	4	—	361	—	35	190	6	—	—	2	233	297	445	1-4-0	
	3	9	—	139	19	—	158	333	14	77	56	—	—	3	483	302	539	1-2-0	
	—	4	173	57	9	—	239	136	10	49	18	—	—	3	216	203	280	1-6-0	
	—	5	569	8	—	—	577	—	28	102	3	—	—	6	139	331	553	1-1-0	
—	—	5	180	18	—	—	198	100	11	40	10	—	—	—	121	168	176	0-14-0	
	—	2	32	—	4	—	36	61	10	—	—	—	—	—	71	208	371	1-6-—	
	—	3												2	2	304	269	0-12-0	
—	—	7	243	—	—	—	243	40	17	170	—	—	—	8	235	334	520	1-—-0	
	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	304	269	0-12-0	
	1	9	—	—	24	—	812	—	—	327	—	—	—	—	327	420	485	—	
	—	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	397	500	—	
	1	5	117	93	5	226	441	129	23	224	14	—	—	—	390	380	—	—	
	1	4	45	—	—	—	45	—	12	—	—	—	—	—	12	122	190		
	—	—	275	—	—	—	275	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	5	210	234	—	
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
—	1	3	109	9	—	—	120	—	23	31	3	—	—	—	57	154	12	0-12-6	
	1	4	122	99	—	—	221	—	18	43	43	—	—	—	61	115	98	0-13-1	
	—	—	4	—	—	1	5	—	10	—	—	—	—	—	10	150	110	0-11-9	
	1	5	239	—	—	—	239	—	27	—	—	—	—	—	27	210	180	0-13-8	
	1	8	1252	89	30	—	1371	23	122	625	37	—	—	—	811	585	748	1-4-8	
	—	—	3	—	—	—	3	—	27	—	—	—	—	—	27	200	190	0-15-2	
	1	4	355	—	—	21	376	—	20	—	—	—	—	—	20	182	201	1-1-8	
	1	4	355	—	—	2	357	—	25	—	—	—	—	—	25	160	193	1-1-3	

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4289

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 16/7/23

Signed by

Section LB

File No. 9-21

Serial No

No. L 377 Endse

To

The President

District Board Hamirpur

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 2 Dis 121-22 LF. dated the 7th Feb 1923 forwarding a statement showing the Village Panchayats &c that are in proper working order &c I am directed to Resume that the statement which you have submitted is not the one that was called for in No 7284-41/Ab dated 9th June 1922 LB 9-21-bg and to request you to

over.

to expedite submission of the
Statement in question immediately.

A copy of the prescribed form
~~under~~ which information was taken
for is ~~appended~~ sent herewith for
ready ref^e

I have &c

for Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT...

...

...

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 16/7/27

Signed by

Section LB

File No. 49-21

Serial No

No. L 378

To

The President

District Board Kesten.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L.F.He 207/21-22 dated 14/15 July 1922 forwarding a statement of Village Panchayats that are not working satisfactorily in your District as desired in this Office No 7234-41 LB-49-21-69 dated 9 June 4 I am directed to state that the information relating to seven Taluks ~~have~~ has been sent and the

over.

3 copies

G. R. S. VI—G/32

SUBJECT.....

3 Drafts

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 16/7/23

Signed by

Section LB

File No.

Serial No

No. L379-81

DO.

To

~~The~~

Dear Sir,

In inviting your personal attention to this office No 7234-41 dated 9.6.22 requesting you to submit a list of village Panchayats in your District that are under working order and furnish information under certain heads I am directed to observe that in spite of the several reminders in the matter the information called for has not yet been sent up. ~~is still pending in your office~~. I am

over.

Recd

1923-24

L 384 : V3

21 JUL 1923

From The President
District Board, Mysore
LB 19-21-

To The Secretary to the Govern
of Mysore Revenue Departm

Dated 19-7-23

Despatched

Received 21 JUL 1923

General No. Ec. 1129/21-22
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Statement

Sir,

With reference to your lette
No: L.7234/L.B. 19-21-69
dated 9th June 1922 and D.O.
letter No. L.379-81 dated 11
July 1923 calling for submissi
of a list of Village Panchayet
in this District, I have the hon
to submit the same and to stat
that the Taluk Board Office
have not yet furnished the
required information and an
urgent reminder has again be
issued today for submission
the list immediately. on receip
of the same it will be submitte

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servan

H. Venkatramana
for President

Kenkere			1683	Reference was made for its abolition. But the President now recommends for its retention, as the village is a big one and some portion of the arrears has now been collected
Tumkur	**Taluk**			
Herdigere	Herdigere	not conducting meetings. Party feelings	1213	
Chikkotlukere	Chikkotlukere	Heavy arrears. President recommends	1084	
Kora	Kora	for cancellation.	900	
Turuvekere	Sub-Taluk	Nil		
Madagani	Taluk	Nil		
Gubbi	Taluk	Nil		
Tiptur	Taluk			Information not received yet. Again urgent reminder issued to day.

9-7-1923
Tumkur

A. President

Statement of Village Panchayats in the Tumkur District that are not in proper working order

Name of all Village Panchayats in the District not working	Names of all Panchayats which have been recommended to be abolished	Cause or Causes for it	Population	Remarks
Kunigal	Taluk			
Yaliyur	Yaliyur	President recommends for abolition as there is absolutely no life in it & also as arrears are not collected	1041	
Nidasale	Nidasale	President says that both these deserve to be abolished as the Villagers are torn into faction and envy	1034	
Gunnacere	Gunnacere	Spirit of self help infused is thoroughly in vain	543	
Sira	Taluk			
Halenahalli	Halenahalli	Not Conducting meetings. Heavy arrears.	1534	Including 2 hamlets
Tarur	Tarur	Party feelings. President recommends for Cancellation	1392	Including 2 hamlets
Kotta	Kotta		1212	Including 6 hamlets
Pavagada	Taluk			
Byadanur	Byadanur	Not conducting meeting. Heavy arrears		
Kannamedi	Kannamedi	Party feelings. President recommends for Cancellation.		
Koratagere	Ex. A - Taluk			
Doddasaggere	Doddasaggere	Number of Houses & Population too low.	320	
Sita	Sita	Income Cannot cope with the expenditure. Taluk Board and President recommends for abolition.	587	

Tita	Tita	Income cannot cope with the expenditure. Taluk Board & President recommend for abolition	587	
Chikkanaikanahalli Taluk				
Kesakere			1683	Reference was made for its abolition But the President now recommends for its retention as the village is a big one & some portion of the arrears has now been collected
Tumkur Taluk				
Herdigere	Herdigere	Not conducting meetings. Badly fallen in Heavy arrears	1213	
Chikkotlukere	Chikkotlukere		1084	
Kora	Kora	President recommends for cancellation	900	
Turuvekere Sub-Taluk		Nil		
Madhugiri Taluk		Nil		
Gubbi Taluk		Nil		
Tiptur Taluk				Information not received yet. A fourth urgent reminder issued today

19-7-1923
Tumkur

DFO
President

Statement of Village Panchayets in the Tumkur District that are not in proper working order

Name of all Village Panchayets in the District not working	Names of all Panchayets which have been recommended to be abolished	Cause or Causes for it	Population	Remarks
Kunigal Taluk				
Yaliyur	Yaliyur	President recommends for abolition as there is absolutely no life in it & also as no collections are being collected & President says that	1041	
Nidasale	Nidasale	Both these deserve be abolished as the villagers are torn into factions & any spirit & self help in forces is thoroughly in vain.	1034	
Gunnagere	Gunnagere		543	
Sira Taluk				
Halenahalli	Halenahalli		1534	Including 2 hamlets
Tarur	Tarur		1392	Including 2 hamlets
Kotta	Kotta		1212	Including 6 hamlets
Pavagada Taluk				
Byadanur	Byadanur	Not Conducting meetings. Heavy arrears. Party feelings	-	
Kannamedi	Kannamedi	President recommend for cancellation.	-	
Kor[illegible]				
[illegible]	Kaddalagere	Number of Houses &	320	

19

2085

From

The P r e s i d e n t,
District Board of Mysore,
M y s o r e.

To LB 19-21-

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 20th July 1923.

Received 21st —do—.

General No. D 2 Dis 174-3 L

No.

23 JUL 1923

Enclosure No./

S u b j e c t.

Statement Submitting a statement showing the the names of Village Panchayets that are not in working order and which are recommended for abolition.

L 324-23

LB 19-22

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Bangalore District Board,

Dated, Bangalore 17-7-.....*192* 3.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.L. 3379-81 dated 11/16th July 1923 calling for certain information regarding Village Panchayets of this District, I wish to - state that it appears that the late President had kept this reference for his personal inspection and intended to reply to it thereafter. But he was not able to do so.

After I assumed charge of this office I have inspected several Village Panchayet I shall send a detailed report in the matter soon after I finish my inspection.

Yours sincerely,

Ba Rahman

To,

C.E.Rama Rao, Esq.,
Assistant Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

No. [illegible]

KOLAR DISTRICT.

Encs.

4357

Dated, Kolar, the 31st July 1923.

I. 8.

From

B. GOPALA RAO, Esquire,

President, District Board, Kolar.

12 AUG 1923

To

T.E. Jeyarama Aiyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

LB 19-21

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L. 378/L.B. 19-21-1 dated the16th July 1923, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the Village Panchayats which are reported to be working unsatisfactorily in the Taluks of Kolar and Mulbagal and to state that the village Panchayats in the Taluks of Bagepalli and Chintamani are reported to be working satisfactorily.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

our most obedient servant,

B Gopala Rao

P r e s i d e n t,

District Board, Kolar.

Statement Showing the village panchayets in the Taluks of Kolar and Mulbagal, Kolar District which are not working well

Taluk	Names of village panchayets not working well	Names of village panchayets recommended to be abolished.	Cause or Causes for it	Population	Remarks
Kolar	1. Mudivatti			469	All these panchayets have been reconstituted and steps are being taken to put them in working order. The Taluk Board is not favour of ~~it~~ abolition
	2. Abbani			509	
	3. Shittangere			637	
Mulbagal	1. Gudipalli	Gudipalli		542	The Taluk Board is in favour of abolishing these panchayets
	2. Rajendrahalli	Rajendrahalli		551	
	3. Kaphalmadugu	Kaphalmadugu		855	
	4. Byrkur	Byrkur		582	
	5. Vttanur	Vttanur		546	

Kolar
31st July 1923

Chikkanda Rao
Hon'ble President, Dt Board Kolar

LC 80-15 1491

16 AUG 1923 1923

LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

From The President
District Board, Tumkur
LB 19-21-

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 9th August 1923 Bangalore

Despatched 16

Received

General No. C 1129/21-22 16 AUG 1923

No.

Enclosure No. one

Sir,

In continuation of the office No. G.C. 1129 of 21-22 dated the 19th ultimo, and with reference to your letter No. L. 7234-LB 19-21-69 dated the 11th ultimo, I have the honour to submit herewith a supplemental list of village panchayats that are not in working order in the Tiptur Taluk. Same furnished by the President Taluk Board, Tiptur.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. Vasantharama
President

Statement showing the members of village panchayats that are not in working order in the Tirur Taluk, Tirurkam district.

Serial No:	Names of village panchayat in the taluk not in working order.	Names of the panchayats which have been recommended to be abolished	Cause or causes for it.	population	Remarks
1	Aralagoppe	All the four	The members of the panchayats do not take any interest in the administration of the panchayats do not hold meetings nor attend to the collection work — are those are due to sectarian spirit among the members, the unwillingness on the part of the Hindus to have panchayats for their villages, the paucity of literate men who are willing to do the work etc.	913	
2	Alur, Anjanakalli major village			797	
3	Balussanerikara Hosur, major village			901	
4	Kodakkanur.			862.	

Tirurkam
Dated 10th August 1923.

H. Umakantha Rao
for President.

15336

No. 52 Rev. 63-23 — Office of the President District Board, Hassan, Dated 30th September 1923.

From

N. S. Nanjundiah, Esq.,
President, District Board,
Hassan.

To

T.E. Jeyarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. 2 15 OCT. 1923 G. D. SECTION

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.377/L.B.19-21-22, dated 16th July 23, observing that the statement of Village Panchayets which were in working order was not the one required and asking me to send one relating to those that are not in working order and the subsequent D.O. letter No. L. 1754-5, dated 31st August 23/ 1st September 23, I have the honor to state that I was led to that mistake on account of your D.O. No.L. 5731-3, dated 10th Feby. 23, copy of which is enclosed.

2. I now enclose a statement in respect of Village Panchayets which are not in working order as desired.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Hassan.

Forwarded with compliments

Letter No. L. 5731-3, dated 10/15th Feby. 23, from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the President, District Board, Hassan.

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No. 7234-41/L.B. 19-21-69, dated 9th June 22 and the subsequent reminder thereto calling for a statement showing the Village Panchayets that are in proper working order in your District and to request you to kindly expedite the submission of the same.

The matter may be kindly treated as urgent.

"Copy".

President,
District Board, Hassan.

G. R. S. VI--G/32]

SUBJECT.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

General and Revenue Secretariat

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 3/12/23

Signed by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. L4184 3/12/23

To The President, District Board
Hassan.

Sir

In inviting your attention to this office letter no L7234-4 LB19-21 dt 9th June 1922 and subsequent correspondence ending with your letter no C. 1385 of 21-2 dated 18/19.9.23 promising to send the detailed report called for about the village Panchayats that are not in working order, I am directed to request you after you inspect the Panchayats over.

W D 0632 - GPB--18000--16-8 23